1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Anthony M. De Marco, [SBN: 189153] anthony@demarcolawfirm.com DEMARCO LAW FIRM 133 W Lemon Avenue Monrovia, California 91016 Tel: 626-844-7700 Attorney for Plaintiff, JOHN MDO DOE		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE		
10			
11	JOHN MDO DOE, an individual;	Case No.: 22STCV38521	
12	Plaintiff,	ICCD. 5101	
13 14	V.	JCCP: 5101 Judge: Hon. David S. Cunningham, III	
15		Dept: 11	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE; THE ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION; ALLIANCE COLLEGE- READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS; LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT; ROSALIO MEDRANO; and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, Defendants.	FIRST AMENDED MASTER COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR: (1) INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS; (3) NEGLIGENCE; (4) NEGLIGENT SUPERVISION; (5) NEGLIGENT RETENTION/HIRING; (6) NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN, TRAIN OR EDUCATE; (8) CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD (CIVIL CODE §1573); (9) SEXUAL HARASSMENT (CIVIL CODE §1708.5); (11) SEXUAL ASSAULT; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
28	1		
	JCCP 5101 FIRST AMENDED MASTER COMPLAINT		

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL CLAIMS

- 1. Each Plaintiff in this action, survivor of childhood sexual abuse, brings this action to hold the religious institutions accountable that Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family entrusted with Plaintiff's safety as a minor child. These institutions harbored their perpetrators and failed to protect minor children with whom the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES stood *in loco parentis*. This case seeks to vindicate the rights of survivors who unnecessarily suffered abuse at the hands of trusted religious leaders, to whom they were vulnerable and in which they trusted. This Amended Master Complaint has been created and will be adopted by each Plaintiff assigned to the Coordinated Proceeding, *In the Matter of the Southern California Clergy Cases (L.A.S.C. Case No. BC679844 and AB218-Related Cases)*, JCCP Case No. 5101.
- 2. Hereinafter, the term "Plaintiff" will be used and refer to each Plaintiff who provides an adoption form to this Amended Master Complaint. Multiple Plaintiffs may file one Amended Master Complaint so long as each Plaintiff separately designates which paragraphs of the Amended Master Complaint they adopt. Plaintiffs who seek to file a Amended Master Complaint against multiple perpetrators may do so by designating which paragraphs of the Amended Master Complaint are adopted as to each perpetrator.

THE PLAINTIFF

- 3. The Plaintiff is an adult individual, who is under the age of forty (40) years old. Therefore, the Plaintiff need not file Certificates of Merit, pursuant to *Code of Civil Procedure* §340.1(g) and is permitted to name the Defendants in this action by their true and correct names.
 - 7. The Plaintiff is currently a resident of the State of California.
 - 8. The Plaintiff was a resident of the State of California, during the time when the

childhood sexual abuse, harassment and/or assault occurred.

9. The childhood sexual abuse, harassment, and/or assault occurred within the State of California, at least in part.

DEFENDANTS

(Defendant, THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE)

- 10. Plaintiff names THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE as a defendant in this action.
- 12. Defendant THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE is at all times mentioned herein was and is, a corporation sole, having its principal place of business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. Defendant THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE purposely conducts substantial business activities in the State of California, and was the primary entity owning, operating and controlling the activities and behavior of its employees, agents, volunteers, and/or servants, including ROSALIO MEDRANO, as well as DOES 6 through 500 and all other employees, agents, and supervisors of those defendants.
- 13. The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Defendant the ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE was an entity that supervised priests, supervised children, and understood that children would be in its programs, on its premises, and in the care, custody, and control of Defendant the ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE, including the Plaintiff when they were parishioners, and/or participants in religious, recreational, athletic, and/or social activities, altar servers and/or students.

(Defendant, the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION)

- 15. Plaintiff names the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION as a defendant in this action.
 - 17. Defendant the ARCHDIOCESE. OF. LOS ANGELES EDUCATION &

WELFARE CORPORATION, at all times mentioned herein, was and is a corporation sole, having its principal place of business in the State of California. Defendant the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION purposely conducts substantial business activities in the State of California, and was the primary entity owning, operating and controlling the activities and behavior of its employees, agents, volunteers and/or servants, including ROSALIO MEDRANO, as well as DOES 6 through 500 and all other employees, agents, and supervisors of those defendants. The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Defendant the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION was an entity that supervised priests, supervised children, and understood that children would be in its programs, on its premises, and in the care, custody, and control of Defendant the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION, including the Plaintiff when he or she was a parishioner, participant in religious, recreational, athletic, and social activities, altar server and/or student.

(Defendant, DOE PARISH)

20. Plaintiff does not name DOE PARISH as a defendant in this action.

(Defendant, ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS)

- 23. Plaintiff names ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS as a defendant in this action.
- 25. At all times material hereto, Defendant ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS was and is an institution organized under the laws of the State of California as a Nonprofit Corporation, which includes but is not limited to civil corporations, decision making entities, officials and employees authorized to conduct business and conducting business in the State of California, with its principal place of business in California. Defendant ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS includes, but is not limited to, the Defendant ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS organization and any other organizations and/or entities operating under the same or similar name with the same or similar principal place of business. At all times material, Defendant ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS was and continues to be under the direct authority, control and province of Defendant

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT. ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS includes, but is not limited to, the school corporation and entity. Defendant ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS is responsible for the funding, staffing, and direction of a school which oversaw Plaintiff at the time of the abuse. At all times material, Defendant ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS and LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT owned, operated, managed, maintained and controlled Defendant ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

(Defendant, LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT)

- 23.1 Plaintiff names LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT as a defendant in this action.
- 25.1 At all times material hereto, Defendant LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT was and is an institution organized under the laws of the State of California as an entity of form unknown, which includes but is not limited to civil corporations, decision making entities, officials and employees authorized to conduct business and conducting business in the State of California, with its principal place of business in California. Defendant LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT includes, but is not limited to, the Defendant ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS organization and any other organizations and/or entities operating under the same or similar name with the same or similar principal place of business. LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT includes, but is not limited to, the school corporation and entity. Defendant LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT is responsible for the funding, staffing, and direction of a school which oversaw Plaintiff at the time of the abuse. At all times material, Defendant LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT owned, operated, managed, maintained and controlled Defendant ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

(Defendant, DOE RELIGIOUS ORDER)

- 27. Plaintiff does not name DOE RELIGIOUS ORDER as a defendant in this action.
- 30. Collectively, the institutions the ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE, the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES

EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION, ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS, and LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT named in this lawsuit, shall be referred to as "DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES", hereinafter.

(Defendant, ROSALIO MEDRANO)

- 31. Plaintiff names ROSALIO MEDRANO as a defendant in this action.
- 33. Defendant ROSALIO MEDRANO is an adult individual. The ROSALIO MEDRANO was in a position of trust, confidence, and responsibility with the Plaintiff, as a function of the position ROSALIO MEDRANO was in with the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES. Specifically, ROSALIO MEDRANO was placed in contact with minor children, through ROSALIO MEDRANO's role with the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and thus, stood *in loco parentis* with the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff's guardians.
- 34. Defendant ROSALIO MEDRANO was an agent, servant, employee, volunteer and/or member of the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES during the time of the Plaintiff's childhood sexual abuse.
- 35. Collectively, the ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE, the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION, ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS, LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, ROSALIO MEDRANO, and/or DOES 6 through 500 are referred to as "Defendants."
- 36. The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of Defendants named herein as DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, are unknown to the Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend the Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when such have been ascertained. Upon information and belief, each of the said Doe Defendants is responsible in some manner under *Code of Civil Procedure* §§340.1(a)(1), (2), (3), and 340.1(c) for the occurrences herein alleged, and were a legal cause of the childhood sexual assault which resulted in injury to the Plaintiff as alleged herein.
 - 37. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all times

mentioned herein, there existed a unity of interest and ownership among Defendants and each of them, such that any individuality and separateness between Defendants, and each of them, ceased to exist. Defendants and each of them, were the successors-in-interest and/or alter egos of the other Defendants, and each of them, in that they purchased, controlled, dominated and operated each other without any separate identity, observation of formalities, or other manner of division. To continue maintaining the facade of a separate and individual existence between and among Defendants, and each of them, would allow Defendants to perpetrate a fraud and an injustice.

38. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all times mentioned herein, Defendants and each of them were the agents, representatives and/or employees of each and every other Defendant. In doing the things hereinafter alleged, Defendants and each of them, were acting within the course and scope of said alternative personality, capacity, identity, agency, representation and/or employment and were within the scope of their authority, whether actual or apparent. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all times mentioned herein, Defendants and each of them were the trustees, partners, servants, joint venturers, shareholders, contractors, and/or employees of each and every other Defendant, and the acts and omissions herein alleged were done by them, acting individually, through such capacity and within the scope of their authority, and with the permission and consent of each and every other Defendant and that said conduct was thereafter ratified by each and every other Defendant, and that each of them is jointly and severally liable to Plaintiff.

CHILDHOOD SEXUAL ASSAULT, ABUSE AND/OR HARASSMENT SUFFERED BY THE PLAINTIFF

39. The Plaintiff was subjected to acts of childhood sexual assault, harassment, abuse, and/or molestation by ROSALIO MEDRANO. These acts of childhood sexual assault, harassment, abuse and/or molestation perpetrated upon the Plaintiff, began to occur when the Plaintiff was under the age of 18 years old, and constitute childhood sexual assault within the definition of *Code of Civil Procedure* §340.1(d). These acts of childhood sexual assault, harassment, abuse and/or molestation resulted in the personal physical injury, as well as emotional, psychological and psychiatric injury and damage to the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff was a

Suicidal ideation or thoughts;

28

m. Suicide attempts.

- 43. As a direct and proximate result of the childhood sexual assault, harassment and abuse committed against the Plaintiff by ROSALIO MEDRANO, which was enabled and facilitated by DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, Plaintiff has, and will continue to, incur expenses for mental, psychological, psychiatric, and medical care due to the assault, according to proof at trial, as follows:
 - a. Future Medical Expenses, including, but not limited, psychological and/or psychiatric care;
 - b. Past Medical Expenses, including, but not limited, psychological and/or psychiatric care.
- 45. These damages were all suffered as general, special and consequential damages of Plaintiff, in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than the minimum jurisdictional amount of this Court.

<u>DUTIES OF THE DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES TO PROTECT THE PLAINTIFF AND CONTROL ROSALIO MEDRANO</u>

- 46. At all times herein, the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and each of them, knew or should have known that ROSALIO MEDRANO was unfit, posed a risk of harm to minor children, and/or posed a risk of childhood sexual assault to minor children in its care, custody and control. Specifically, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES knew or should have known, or were otherwise on notice, that ROSALIO MEDRANO had engaged in misconduct that created the risk of childhood sexual assault and failed to take reasonable steps or to implement reasonable safeguards to avoid acts of childhood sexual assault by ROSALIO MEDRANO on minors, including Plaintiff.
- 47. As. A. priest, employee, representative, servant, agent, and/or volunteer of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, ROSALIO MEDRANO was placed in a position of moral, ethical, religious, and legal authority over the Plaintiff, Plaintiff's parents, and parishioners with whom ROSALIO MEDRANO came into contact. The ROSALIO MEDRANO was a confident to the Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family, and as a result, there was a special, trusting, confidential and fiduciary relationship between the Plaintiff and

ROSALIO MEDRANO, as well as between DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6-500 and the Plaintiff. Through this relationship with the Plaintiff, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES stood *in loco parentis* with the Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family. Specifically, Defendants took the Plaintiff into their custody, care and control, which conferred upon the Plaintiff and their families the reasonable belief that the Plaintiff, a minor child, would be protected and cared for, as if Defendants were the Plaintiff's own parents.

- 48. As a minor at DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, where ROSALIO MEDRANO was employed, retained, and worked, Plaintiff was under ROSALIO MEDRANO's, as well as DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's direct supervision, care and control, thus creating a special relationship, fiduciary relationship, and/or special care relationship with Defendants, and each of them. Additionally, as Plaintiff was a minor child under the custody, care and control of Defendants, Defendants stood *in loco parentis* with respect to Plaintiff while Plaintiff was at DOE 1 and DOES 6 through 500. As the responsible parties and/or employers controlling ROSALIO MEDRANO, Defendants were also in a special relationship with Plaintiff, and owed special duties to Plaintiff.
- 49. Defendants also intentionally and willfully implemented various measures intended and designed to, or which effectively, made ROSALIO MEDRANO's conduct harder to detect including, but not limited to:
 - a. Assigning and permitting ROSALIO MEDRANO to remain in a position of authority and trust after DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 knew or should have known that ROSALIO MEDRANO was an unfit agent, servant, employee, member and/or volunteer;
 - b. Assigning and permitting ROSALIO MEDRANO to remain in a position of authority and trust after DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 knew or should have known that ROSALIO MEDRANO engaged in misconduct that created a risk of childhood sexual assault;
 - b. Placing ROSALIO MEDRANO in a separate and secluded environment, including placing him in charge of children, which allowed ROSALIO MEDRANO to sexually and physically interact with and assault children, including Plaintiff;
 - c. Authorizing ROSALIO MEDRANO to come into contact with minors, including Plaintiff, without adequate supervision;

- d. Failing to inform, or concealing from Plaintiff's parents and law enforcement officials the fact that Plaintiff and others were or may have been sexually assaulted after Defendants knew or should have known that ROSALIO MEDRANO may have sexually assaulted Plaintiff or others, thereby enabling Plaintiff to continue to be endangered and sexually assaulted, and/or creating the circumstance where the Plaintiff and others were less likely to receive medical/mental health care and treatment, thus exacerbating the harm to Plaintiff;
- e. Holding out and affirming ROSALIO MEDRANO to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's parents, other children and their parents, and to the community, as being a person in good standing and who was trustworthy;
- f. Failing to take reasonable steps, and to implement reasonable safeguards to avoid acts of unlawful sexual conduct by ROSALIO MEDRANO with students, minor children;
- g. Failing to put in place a system or procedure to supervise or monitor employees, volunteers, representatives or agents to ensure that they did not molest or assault minors in Defendants' custody or care, including Plaintiff.
- 50. By his position within the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, Defendants demanded and required that Plaintiff respect ROSALIO MEDRANO in his position of priest, spiritual advisor, confidant, teacher, and/or mentor at DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500.
- 51. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants and each of them, were or should have been aware of ROSALIO MEDRANO's wrongful conduct at or about the time it was occurring, and thereafter, but took no action to obstruct, inhibit or stop such continuing conduct, or to help Plaintiff endure the trauma from such conduct. Despite the authority and ability to do so, these Defendants negligently and/or willfully refused to, and/or did not act effectively to stop the sexual assaults on the Plaintiff, to inhibit or obstruct such assault, or to protect the Plaintiff from the results of that trauma.
- 52. During the period of assaults perpetrated upon the Plaintiff, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 had the authority and the ability to obstruct or stop ROSALIO MEDRANO's sexual assaults on the Plaintiff, but intentionally, negligently and/or willfully failed to do so, thereby allowing the assault to occur and to continue unabated. This failure was a part of Defendants' intended plan and arrangement to conceal wrongful acts, to

avoid and inhibit detection, to block public disclosure, to avoid scandal, to avoid the disclosure of their tolerance of child sexual molestation and assault, to preserve a false appearance of propriety, and to avoid investigation and action by public authority including law enforcement. The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that such actions were motivated by a desire to protect the reputation of Defendants and each of them, and to protect the monetary support of Defendants while fostering an environment where such assault could continue to occur.

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

- effective January 1, 2020 there is a three (3) year window in which all civil claims of childhood sexual assault are revived if they have not been litigated to finality. This provision provides that, "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of law, any claim for damages described in paragraphs (1) through (3), inclusive, of subdivision (a) that has not been litigated to finality and that would otherwise be barred as of January 1, 2020, because the applicable statute of limitations, claim presentation deadline, or any other time limit had expired, is revived, and these claims may be commenced within three years of January 1, 2020. A plaintiff shall have the later of the three-year time period under this subdivision or the time period under subdivision (a) as amended by the act that added this subdivision." These claims of the Plaintiff have not been previously litigated to finality and have been filed (or are still pending) within the timeframe specified *supra*, thus, it is timely under the revised provisions of *Code of Civil Procedure* §340.1(q).
- 54. The Plaintiff is under the age of forty (40) years old at the time of filing of the Complaint, therefore, their action is timely pursuant to *Code of Civil Procedure* §340.1(a).

RATIFICATION

60. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, having full knowledge of the dangerous and sexually exploitive propensities of ROSALIO MEDRANO or other perpetrators, ratified ROSALIO MEDRANO's conduct and are liable. *See, e.g., C.R. v. Tenet Healthcare Corp.* (2009) 169 Cal.App.4th 1094, 1110 ("...an employer may be liable for an employee's act where the employer either authorized the tortious act or subsequently ratified an originally unauthorized tort. [Citations.] The failure to discharge an employee who has committed

misconduct may be evidence of ratification. [Citations.] The theory of ratification is generally applied where an employer fails to investigate or respond to charges that an employee committed an intentional tort, such as assault or battery."); *Ratcliff, et al. v. The Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles, et al.* (Case No. B302558) (Cal. App. 2 Dist. Apr. 29, 2021). Prior to the Plaintiff's exploitation by ROSALIO MEDRANO, the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES knew, or should have known, that ROSALIO MEDRANO was unfit to be around minor children and posed a danger to those children ROSALIO MEDRANO was assigned to care for, or otherwise supervise.

61. The patterns and practices of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, made them aware and/or be on notice of other perpetrators' sexual misconduct with minors prior to the first occasion when Plaintiff was placed in ROSALIO MEDRANO's custody through the acts of Defendants. Accordingly, at the time ROSALIO MEDRANO and DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, performed the acts alleged herein, it was or should have been reasonably foreseeable to Defendants that by continuously exposing and making Plaintiff available to ROSALIO MEDRANO, Defendants were placing Plaintiff in grave risk of being sexually assaulted by ROSALIO MEDRANO. By knowingly subjecting Plaintiff to such foreseeable danger, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, were duty-bound to take reasonable steps and implement reasonable safeguards to protect Plaintiff from ROSALIO MEDRANO. Furthermore, as alleged herein, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, at all times exercised a sufficient degree of control over ROSALIO MEDRANO's personal and business affairs so as to be able to prevent the acts of assault by keeping ROSALIO MEDRANO away from Plaintiff. However, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, failed to take any reasonable steps or implement any reasonable safeguards for Plaintiff's protection whatsoever, and continued to make Plaintiff accessible to ROSALIO MEDRANO for the purposes of sexual assault.

27 ///

24

25

26

28 ///

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

(Against Defendants the ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE, the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION, ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS, LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, ROSALIO MEDRANO and DOES 6 through 500)

- 62. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all prior paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- 63. Defendants' conduct towards the Plaintiff, as described herein, was outrageous and extreme.
- 64. A reasonable person would not expect or tolerate the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 putting ROSALIO MEDRANO in positions of authority at DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, which enabled ROSALIO MEDRANO to have access to minor children, including the Plaintiff, so that ROSALIO MEDRANO could commit wrongful sexual acts with them, including the conduct described herein above. The Plaintiff held great trust, faith and confidence in Defendants, which, by virtue of Defendants' wrongful conduct, turned to fear.
- 65. A reasonable person would not expect or tolerate the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES to be incapable of supervising and preventing employees of Defendants, including ROSALIO MEDRANO, from committing wrongful sexual acts with minor children in their charge, including Plaintiff, or to be incapable of properly supervising ROSALIO MEDRANO to prevent such assault from occurring.
- 66. Defendants' conduct described herein was intentional and malicious and done for the purpose of causing, or with reckless disregard to the rights of Plaintiff, with the substantial certainty that it would cause Plaintiff and the other children who were enrolled in, participated in, or were members and participants in, activities of their parish and of Defendants' religious, educational, recreational, and social programs, to suffer humiliation, mental anguish and emotional and physical distress.
- 67. As a result of the above-described conduct, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of

emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life; has suffered and continues to suffer and was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life; will sustain loss of earnings and earning capacity, and has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling.

68. As to DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, Plaintiff reserves the right to file a Motion to Amend the complaint, pursuant to *Code of Civil Procedure* §425.14.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENCE

(Against Defendants the ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE, the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION, ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS, LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, and DOES 6 through 500)

- 77. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all prior paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- 78. As more fully set forth above, the conduct and actions of the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, served to create an environment in which ROSALIO MEDRANO was afforded years of continuous secluded access to minor children including the Plaintiff, a minor child at the time of Plaintiff's sexual assaults by ROSALIO MEDRANO.
- 79. As more fully set forth above, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, were aware and/or on notice of ROSALIO MEDRANO's sexual misconduct with minors prior to the first occasion on which Plaintiff was placed in ROSALIO MEDRANO's custody through the acts of Defendants. Accordingly, at the time ROSALIO MEDRANO and DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, performed the acts alleged herein, it was or should have been reasonably foreseeable to Defendants that by continuously exposing and making Plaintiff available to ROSALIO MEDRANO, Defendants were placing Plaintiff in grave risk of being sexually assaulted by ROSALIO MEDRANO. By knowingly subjecting Plaintiff to such foreseeable danger,

DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, were duty-bound to take reasonable steps and implement reasonable safeguards to protect Plaintiff from ROSALIO MEDRANO. Furthermore, as alleged herein, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, at all times exercised a sufficient degree of control over ROSALIO MEDRANO's personal and business affairs to prevent the acts of assault by keeping ROSALIO MEDRANO away from Plaintiff. However, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, failed to take any reasonable steps or implement any reasonable safeguards for Plaintiff's protection whatsoever, and continued to make Plaintiff accessible to ROSALIO MEDRANO for the purposes of sexual assault.

NEGLIGENCE PER SE—PENAL CODE MANDATORY CHILD ABUSE REPORTING

- 80. Under the Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act ("CANRA"), DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, by and through their agents, servants, volunteers, and/or employees, including priests, were childcare custodians and were under a statutory duty to report known or suspected incidents of sexual molestation or abuse of minors to a child protective agency, pursuant to California *Penal Code* § 11166, and/or not to impede the filing of any such report. Furthermore, RELIGIOUS ENTITY DEFENDANTS and DOES 6 through 500 were under a statutory duty to provide their employees with various acknowledgements of reporting requirements under *Penal Code* §11166.5.
- 81. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, knew or should have known that their agent, employee, counselor, advisor and mentor, including ROSALIO MEDRANO, had sexually molested, abused or caused touching, battery, harm, and other injuries to minors, including Plaintiff, giving rise to a duty to report such conduct under California *Penal Code* §11166.
- 82. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, knew, or should have known of in the exercise of reasonable diligence, that an undue risk to minors, including the Plaintiff, existed because DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES did not comply with California's mandatory reporting requirements.
 - 83. By failing to report the continuing molestations and assaults, which

DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, knew of or should have known of, and by ignoring the fulfillment of the mandated compliance with the reporting requirements provided under California *Penal Code* §11166, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, created the risk and danger contemplated by CANRA, and as a result, unreasonably and wrongfully exposed Plaintiff and other minors to sexual molestation and abuse.

- 84. The Plaintiff was a member of the class of persons for whose protection California *Penal Code* §11166 was specifically adopted to protect.
- 85. Had DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, adequately reported the molestation of Plaintiff and other minors as required by California *Penal Code* §11166, further harm to Plaintiff and other minors would have been avoided.
- 86. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's, inclusive, failure to follow the mandatory reporting requirements of California *Penal Code* §11166, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, wrongfully denied the Plaintiff and other minors the intervention of child protection services. Such public agencies would have changed the then-existing arrangements and conditions that provided the access and opportunities for the molestation of Plaintiff by ROSALIO MEDRANO.
- 87. The physical, mental, and emotional damages and injuries resulting from the sexual molestation of Plaintiff by ROSALIO MEDRANO, were the type of occurrence and injuries that the CANRA was designed to prevent.
- 88. As a result, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's, inclusive, failure to comply with the mandatory reporting requirements of California *Penal Code* §11166 also constituted a *per se* breach of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 1 through 500's, inclusive duties to Plaintiff.
- 89. As a direct and proximate result of the failure of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, to protect Plaintiff from the acts of childhood

sexual assault to which Plaintiff was subjected to by ROSALIO MEDRANO, Plaintiff has suffered the physical injury of sexual assault and has suffered and will continue to suffer severe mental and emotional distress including, but not limited to, great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life; has suffered and continues to suffer and was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life; will sustain loss of earnings and earning capacity, and has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling; and loss of past and future earnings and other economic benefits according to proof at the time of trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT SUPERVISION

(Against Defendants the ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE, the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION, ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS, LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, and DOES 6 through 500)

- 90. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all prior paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- 91. By virtue of Plaintiff's special relationship with DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, and DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's, inclusive, relation to ROSALIO MEDRANO, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, owed Plaintiff a duty to provide reasonable supervision of the Plaintiff, to provide reasonable supervision of ROSALIO MEDRANO, to use reasonable care in investigating ROSALIO MEDRANO's background, and to provide adequate warning to the Plaintiff, Plaintiff's family, and other children, of ROSALIO MEDRANO's dangerous propensities and unfitness. Said Defendants, and each of them, further owed Plaintiff a duty to protect against the foreseeable risk of sexual assaults committed upon children, including Plaintiff, during or arising out of those activities sponsored and controlled by Defendants in which Plaintiff was a participant.
 - 92. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that DEFENDANTS

RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, by and through their respective agents, servants and employees, knew or should have known of ROSALIO MEDRANO's dangerous and exploitive propensities and/or that ROSALIO MEDRANO was an unfit agent. Despite such knowledge, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, negligently failed to supervise ROSALIO MEDRANO in his position of trust and authority as an authority figure and supervisor of children, where ROSALIO MEDRANO was able to commit wrongful acts against the Plaintiff. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, failed to provide reasonable supervision of ROSALIO MEDRANO, failed to use reasonable care in investigating ROSALIO MEDRANO and failed to provide adequate warning to Plaintiff of ROSALIO MEDRANO's dangerous propensities and unfitness. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, further failed to take reasonable measures to prevent sexual assault, harassment, and molestation of children, including Plaintiff.

- 93. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, were put on notice, and knew or should have known, that ROSALIO MEDRANO had previously engaged and was continuing to engage in unlawful sexual conduct with children and committed other felonies, for ROSALIO MEDRANO's own personal gratification, and that it was, or should have been foreseeable that ROSALIO MEDRANO was engaging, or would engage in illicit sexual activities with Plaintiff, and others, under the cloak of his authority, confidence, and trust, bestowed upon ROSALIO MEDRANO through DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, and each of them.
- 94. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, were placed on actual or constructive notice that, ROSALIO MEDRANO had assaulted children prior to, and/or during the time he was in contact with the Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, were informed of sexual assault, harassment and molestations committed by ROSALIO MEDRANO or of conduct

that would put a reasonable person on notice of such propensity to assault, harassment and molestation.

- 95. Even though DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, knew or should have known of these activities by ROSALIO MEDRANO, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, did nothing to investigate, supervise or monitor ROSALIO MEDRANO to ensure the safety of the guests.
- 96. As an institution entrusted with the care of minors, where staff, employees, agents, and management, such as ROSALIO MEDRANO were placed in contact with minors, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's expressly and implicitly represented that these individuals, including ROSALIO MEDRANO, were not a sexual threat to children and others who would fall under ROSALIO MEDRANO's influence, control, direction, and guidance.
- 97. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, negligently failed to supervise ROSALIO MEDRANO in his positions of trust and authority as an employee, agent, counselor and mentor, and/or other authority figure, where ROSALIO MEDRANO was able to commit wrongful acts against the Plaintiff. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES, and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, failed to provide reasonable supervision of ROSALIO MEDRANO. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 further failed to take reasonable measures to prevent sexual harassment, molestation and assault of minors, including the Plaintiff.
- 98. At no time during the periods of time alleged did DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, have in place a system or procedure to reasonably investigate, supervise and monitor individuals in contact with minor children, including ROSALIO MEDRANO, to prevent pre-sexual grooming and sexual harassment, molestation and assault of children, nor did they implement a system or procedure to oversee or monitor conduct toward minors, students and others in DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's care.
 - 99. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive,

were or should have known to be aware and understand how vulnerable children were to sexual harassment, molestation and assault by mentors, advisors, and other persons of authority within DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive.

- 100. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's, inclusive, conduct was a breach of their duties to the Plaintiff.
- 101. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, breached their duty to the Plaintiff by, *inter alia*, failing to adequately monitor and supervise ROSALIO MEDRANO and stopping ROSALIO MEDRANO from committing wrongful sexual acts with minors including the Plaintiff. This belief is founded on the fact that employees and staff of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, had suspected the assault was occurring at the time, and failed to investigate into the matter further. Based on these facts, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, knew or should have known of ROSALIO MEDRANO's incapacity to supervise and stop employees of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive from committing wrongful sexual acts with minors.
- 102. As a direct and proximate result of the failures of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer the physical injury of childhood sexual assault, severe mental and emotional distress including, but not limited to, great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life; has suffered and will continue to suffer and is prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life; will sustain loss of earnings and earning capacity, and has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling; and loss of past and future earnings and other economic benefits according to proof at the time of trial.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT RETENTION/HIRING

(Against Defendants the ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE, the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION, ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS, LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, and DOES 6 through 500)

- 103. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all prior paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- 104. By virtue of Plaintiff's special relationship with Defendants, inclusive and each of them, and DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's, inclusive, relation to ROSALIO MEDRANO, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, owed Plaintiff a duty not to hire and/or retain ROSALIO MEDRANO, given ROSALIO MEDRANO's dangerous and exploitive propensities, which DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, knew or should have known had they engaged in a meaningful and adequate investigation of his background prior to ROSALIO MEDRANO's hiring.
- 105. As an institution entrusted with the care of minors, where staff, employees, agents, and management, such as ROSALIO MEDRANO was placed in contact with minors, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's, inclusive, expressly and implicitly represented that these individuals, including ROSALIO MEDRANO, were not a sexual threat to children and others who would fall under ROSALIO MEDRANO's influence, control, direction, and guidance.
- 106. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at no time during the periods of time alleged did DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's, inclusive, have in place a system or procedure to reasonably investigate, supervise and/or monitor those individuals in direct contact with children, including ROSALIO MEDRANO, to prevent pre-sexual grooming and/or sexual harassment, molestation and assault of parishioners, nor did they implement a system or procedure to oversee or monitor conduct toward patrons and others in DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's, inclusive, care.
 - 107. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, and

each of them were or should have been aware and understood how vulnerable minor children were to sexual assault, harassment and molestation by persons of authority, including ROSALIO MEDRANO, within the control of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive.

- 108. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, other children and/or employees of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's, inclusive, complained of ROSALIO MEDRANO's sexual improprieties prior to the sexual assault of the Plaintiff. Either DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's, inclusive, knew, or at the very least should have known of ROSALIO MEDRANO's prior history of sexual misconduct with minors prior to Plaintiff's assaults.
- 109. Plaintiff is informed, and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, were put on notice, and should have known that ROSALIO MEDRANO had previously engaged and continued to engage in unlawful sexual conduct with patrons and other felonies, for his own personal gratification, and that it was, or should have been foreseeable that he was engaging, or would engage in illicit sexual activities with Plaintiff, and others, under the cloak of his authority, confidence, and trust, bestowed upon him through DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive.
- 110. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges that DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's, inclusive, were placed on actual and/or constructive notice that ROSALIO MEDRANO had abused, harassed, molested and/or was molesting minor children, both before his sexual assault, molestation and harassment of the Plaintiff, and during that same period. Plaintiff is informed, and thereon alleges, that other third parties, patrons, and/or law enforcement officials informed DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, of inappropriate conduct and molestations committed by ROSALIO MEDRANO.
- 111. Even though DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, knew or should have known of these activities by ROSALIO MEDRANO, Plaintiff is

informed that DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, failed to use reasonable care in investigating ROSALIO MEDRANO and did nothing to investigate, supervise or monitor ROSALIO MEDRANO to ensure the safety of the other minor children in his charge, including the Plaintiff.

- 112. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's, inclusive, conduct was a breach of their duties to the Plaintiff.
- 113. As a direct and proximate result of the failures of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer the physical injury of sexual assault, and severe mental and emotional distress including, but not limited to, great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life; have suffered and continue to suffer and were prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life; will sustain loss of earnings and earning capacity, and have incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling; and/or loss of past and/or future earnings and other economic benefits according to proof at the time of trial.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO TRAIN, WARN OR EDUCATE (Against Defendants the ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A

CORPORATION SOLE, the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A WELFARE CORPORATION, ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS, LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, and DOES 6 through 500)

- 114. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all prior paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- 115. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive owed Plaintiff a duty to take reasonable protective measures to protect Plaintiff and other minor children in their charge from the risk of sexual assault, harassment and molestation by ROSALIO MEDRANO by properly warning, training or educating the Plaintiff and other minors about how to avoid such a risk.
 - 116. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive,

breached their duty to take reasonable protective measures to protect Plaintiff and other minor children in their charge, from the risk of sexual assault, harassment and molestation by ROSALIO MEDRANO such as the failure to properly warn, train or educate Plaintiff and other minor children in their charge about how to avoid such a risk.

- 117. Defendants breached their duty to take reasonable protective measures to protect Plaintiff and other minor children in their charge from the risk of sexual harassment, molestation and assault by ROSALIO MEDRANO, by failing to supervise and/or stop employees of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, including ROSALIO MEDRANO from committing wrongful sexual acts with minor children, including Plaintiff.
- 118. As a direct and proximate result of the failures of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer severe mental and emotional distress including, but not limited to, great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life; has suffered and continues to suffer and was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life; will sustain loss of earnings and earning capacity, and has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling; and/or loss of past and/or future earnings and other economic benefits according to proof at the time of trial.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD (CIVIL CODE §1573)

(Against Defendants the ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE, the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION, ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS, LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, ROSALIO MEDRANO, and DOES 6 through 500)

- 125. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all prior paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
 - 126. By. holding ROSALIO. MEDRANO out as an agent of DEFENDANTS

RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, and by allowing ROSALIO MEDRANO to undertake the educational, religious, and social instruction and accompanying custody and control of minor children such as Plaintiff, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS. ENTITIES. And DOES. 1through 500 entered into a confidential, fiduciary and special relationship with Plaintiff.

- 127. By holding themselves out as qualified institutions for the safety and supervision of children, and by undertaking to provide for the wellness, spiritual guidance and mentorship of Plaintiff and other minors, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 entered into a confidential, fiduciary and special relationship with Plaintiff.
- 128. Defendants breached their confidential, fiduciary duty and special duties to Plaintiff by engaging in the wrongful and negligent conduct described above and incorporated into this cause of action, and in so doing, gained an advantage over Plaintiff in matters relating to Plaintiff's safety, security and health. In breaching such duties as alleged, Defendants were able to sustain their status as institutions of high moral repute, and preserve their reputation, all at the expense of Plaintiff's further injury and in violation of Defendants' mandatory duties.
- 129. By virtue of their confidential, fiduciary and special relationship with Plaintiff, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 owed Plaintiff a duty to:
 - a. Investigate or otherwise confirm or deny such claims of sexual assault;
 - b. Reveal such facts to Plaintiff, Plaintiff's family and caretakers, the community at large, and law enforcement agencies;
 - c. Refuse to place ROSALIO MEDRANO and other molesters in positions of trust and authority within Defendants' institutions;
 - d. Refuse to hold out ROSALIO MEDRANO and other molesters to the public, the community, minors, parents and law enforcement agencies as being in good standing and as trustworthy in keeping with his and their position as a teacher, counselor, spiritual advisor, managing administrator and/or authority figure;
 - e. Refuse to assign ROSALIO MEDRANO and other molesters to positions of power within the Defendants' institutions and over minors;
 - f. Disclose to Plaintiff, Plaintiff's family, the public, the Defendants' community, minors, and law enforcement agencies about the wrongful, tortious, and sexually exploitive acts that ROSALIO MEDRANO had engaged in with children.
- 130. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's breach of their respective duties included:

Not making reasonable investigations of ROSALIO MEDRANO;

Permitting ROSALIO MEDRANO routinely to be alone with and in

Issuing no warnings about ROSALIO MEDRANO;

a.

b.

c.

1

2

continuing to sexually harass, molest and assault minors and others under ROSALIO MEDRANO's and DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's control, direction, and guidance, with complete impunity; inducing people, including Plaintiff and other benefactors and donors to participate and financially support Defendants and other enterprises of Defendants; preventing further reports and outside investigations into ROSALIO MEDRANO's and Defendants' conduct; preventing discovery of Defendants' own conduct; avoiding damage to the reputations of Defendants; protecting Defendants' power and status in the community and the academic community; avoiding damage to the reputation of Defendants, or Defendants' institutions; and avoiding the civil and criminal liability of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, of ROSALIO MEDRANO, and of others.

137. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants, and in particular ROSALIO MEDRANO and DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, with knowledge of the tortious nature of their own and ROSALIO MEDRANO's conduct, knowingly conspired and gave each other substantial assistance to perpetrate the misrepresentations, fraud and deceit alleged herein—ignoring past allegations of sexual misconduct lodged against ROSALIO MEDRANO, and allowing ROSALIO MEDRANO to remain in his position as a mentor, spiritual leader, and/or supervisor of children, so they could maintain their reputations and continue to make a profit.

138. Plaintiff and others were misled by Defendants' suppressions and concealment of facts, and in reliance thereon, were induced to act or induced not to act, exactly as intended by Defendants. Specifically, Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family were induced to believe that there were no allegations of criminal or sexual assault against ROSALIO MEDRANO and that ROSALIO MEDRANO was safe to be around children. Had Plaintiff and their families, and others, known the true facts about ROSALIO MEDRANO, they would have not participated further in activities of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, or continued to financially support Defendants' activities. They would have reported the matters to the proper authorities, to other minors and their parents so as to prevent future recurrences; they would not have allowed children, including Plaintiff, to be alone with, or have any relationship with ROSALIO

MEDRANO; they would not have allowed children, including Plaintiff, to attend or be under the control of Defendants; they would have undertaken their own investigations which would have led to discovery of the true facts; and they would have sought psychological counseling for Plaintiff, and for other children molested and assaulted by ROSALIO MEDRANO.

- 139. By giving ROSALIO MEDRANO the position of spiritual guide, priest, confidant, and trusted individual, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 impliedly represented that ROSALIO MEDRANO was safe and morally fit to give children direction and guidance.
- 140. When DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 made these affirmative or implied representations and non-disclosures of material facts, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 knew or should have known that the facts were otherwise. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 knowingly and intentionally suppressed the material facts that ROSALIO MEDRANO had on numerous, prior occasions sexually, physically, and mentally assaulted minors of or at DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, including Plaintiff, and knew of or learned of conduct, or should have known of conduct by ROSALIO MEDRANO which placed DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 on notice that ROSALIO MEDRANO had previously been suspected of felonies, including unlawful sexual conduct with minors, and was likely abusing children.
- 141. Because of Plaintiff's young age, and because of the status of ROSALIO MEDRANO as an authority figure to Plaintiff, Plaintiff was vulnerable to ROSALIO MEDRANO. The ROSALIO MEDRANO sought Plaintiff out and was empowered by and accepted Plaintiff's vulnerability. Plaintiff's vulnerability also prevented Plaintiff from effectively protecting Plaintiff from the sexual advances of ROSALIO MEDRANO.
- 142. Defendants had the duty to obtain and disclose information relating to sexual misconduct of ROSALIO MEDRANO.
- 143. Defendants misrepresented, concealed or failed to disclose information relating to sexual misconduct of ROSALIO MEDRANO.

- 144. Defendants. Knew that they had misrepresented, concealed or failed to disclose information related to sexual misconduct of ROSALIO MEDRANO.
- 145. Plaintiff justifiably relied upon Defendants for information relating to sexual misconduct of ROSALIO MEDRANO.
- 146. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, and ROSALIO MEDRANO, in concert with each other and with the intent to conceal and defraud, conspired and came to a meeting of the minds whereby they would misrepresent, conceal or fail to disclose information relating to the sexual misconduct of ROSALIO MEDRANO, the inability of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 to supervise or stop ROSALIO MEDRANO from sexually harassing, molesting and abusing Plaintiff, and DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500's own failure to investigate, supervise and monitor properly ROSALIO MEDRANO's conduct with minor children.
- 147. By so concealing, Defendants committed at least one act in furtherance of the conspiracy.
- 148. As a direct and proximate result of the failures of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer the physical injury of sexual assault, severe mental and emotional distress including, but not limited to, great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life; has suffered and will continue to suffer and was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life; will sustain loss of earnings and earning capacity, and has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling; and/or loss of past and/or future earnings and other economic benefits according to proof at the time of trial.
- 149. In addition, when Plaintiff finally discovered the fraud of Defendants, and continuing thereafter, Plaintiff experienced recurrences of the above-described injuries. In addition, when Plaintiff finally discovered the fraud of Defendants, and continuing thereafter, Plaintiff experienced extreme and severe mental anguish and emotional distress that Plaintiff had

been the victim of Defendants' fraud; that Plaintiff had not been able to help other minors being molested because of the fraud, and that Plaintiff had not been able because of the fraud to receive timely medical treatment needed to deal with the problems that Plaintiff had suffered and continues to suffer as a result of the sexual harassment, molestation and assault.

150. As to DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, Plaintiff reserves the right to file a Motion to Amend the complaint, pursuant to *Code of Civil Procedure* §425.14.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION SEXUAL HARASSMENT (CIVIL CODE §51.9)

(Against Defendants the ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE, the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION, ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS, LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, ROSALIO MEDRANO, and DOES 6 through 500)

- 151. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all prior paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- DOES 6 through 500, ROSALIO MEDRANO intentionally, recklessly and wantonly made sexual advances, solicitations, requests, demands for sexual compliance of a hostile nature based on Plaintiff's gender that were unwelcome, pervasive and severe, all under the supervision of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, who were acting in the course and scope of their agency with Defendants and each of them. The sexual harassment of each Plaintiff is detailed *supra*.
- 153. The incidents of assault outlined herein took place while Plaintiff was under the control of Defendants, as well as the staff of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, in their capacity and position as teachers, spiritual advisors, counselors, mentors, supervisors and administrators at DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 and while acting specifically on behalf of Defendants.
- 154. During Plaintiff's time as a minor at DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, ROSALIO MEDRANO intentionally, recklessly and wantonly did acts which resulted in harmful and offensive contact with intimate parts of Plaintiff's person,

including but not limited to, using his position of authority and age to force Plaintiff to give into ROSALIO MEDRANO's sexual suggestions.

- 155. Because of Plaintiff's relationship with DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, ROSALIO MEDRANO, and given Plaintiff's young age as a minor, Plaintiff was unable easily to terminate the relationship held with the Defendants.
- 156. Because of ROSALIO MEDRANO's age and position of authority, physical seclusion of the Plaintiff, Plaintiff's mental and emotional state, and Plaintiff's young age which was under the age of consent, Plaintiff was unable to, and did not, give meaningful consent to such acts.
- 157. Even though the Defendants knew or should have known of these activities by ROSALIO MEDRANO, Defendants did nothing to investigate, supervise or monitor ROSALIO MEDRANO to ensure the safety of the minor children.
- 158. Because of Plaintiff's relationship with Defendants, as a minor child, parishioner, student and/or altar server with DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, and Plaintiff's young age as a minor child, Plaintiff was unable easily to terminate the priest-parishioner relationship with ROSALIO MEDRANO, DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500.
- 159. A corporation is a "person" within meaning of *Civil Code* §51.9, which subjects persons to liability for sexual harassment within a business, service or professional relationship, and such an entity defendant may be held liable under this statute for the acts of its employees. *C.R. v. Tenet Healthcare Corp.*, (2009) 169 Cal.App.4th 1094. Further, principles of ratification apply when the principal ratifies the agent's originally unauthorized harassment, as is alleged to have occurred herein.
- 160. DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 ratified the sexual misconduct of ROSALIO MEDRANO. The theory of liability against the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES is for Ratification, as alleged in Paragraphs 60 and 61, *supra*, and incorporated herein by reference. Furthermore, further facts supporting this theory of Ratification are pleaded in the Plaintiff's Adoption Form herein.

160.1 DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500 are directly liable for the sexual harassment suffered by the Plaintiff under *Civil Code* §51.9. As the Plaintiff was a victim of an act committed against the Plaintiff by an officer, director, or managing agent of DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITITES and DOES 6 through 500. Specifically, ROSALIO MEDRANO was in a position of authority, influence, and power at DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITITES, in which ROSALIO MEDRANO exercised corporate discretion, made policy for the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and for which acts committed by ROSALIO MEDRANO are attributable to the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES. Specifically, ROSALIO MEDRANO was the following with the DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES:

- a. Officer;
- b. Director, or
- c. Managing Agent
- 161. Defendants' conduct (and the conduct of their agents) was a breach of their duties to Plaintiff.
- 162. As a direct and proximate result of the failures of Defendants, inclusive, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer the physical injury of sexual assault, severe mental and emotional distress including, but not limited to, great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life; has suffered and will continue to suffer and was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life; will sustain loss of earnings and earning capacity, and have incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling; and/or loss of past and/or future earnings and other economic benefits according to proof at the time of trial.
- 163. As to DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, Plaintiff reserves the right to file a Motion to Amend the complaint for this cause of action for Punitive Damages, pursuant to *Code of Civil Procedure* §425.14.
 - 164. Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees. pursuant to. Civil. Code. §52, with respect to

Plaintiff's claim being made under §51.9, as an available remedy.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION SEXUAL BATTERY (CIVIL CODE §1708.5)

(Against Defendants the ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE, the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION, ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS, LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, ROSALIO MEDRANO, and DOES 6 through 500)

- 173. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation contained herein above as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
- 174. During Plaintiff's time at DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500, ROSALIO MEDRANO intentionally, recklessly and wantonly did acts which were intended to, and did result in harmful and offensive contact with intimate parts of Plaintiff's person. Plaintiff was subjected to at least one instance of sexual assault by ROSALIO MEDRANO, during Plaintiff's time as a minor with DEFENDANTS RELIGIOUS ENTITIES and DOES 6 through 500.
- 175. The ROSALIO MEDRANO did the aforementioned acts with the intent to cause a harmful or offensive contact with an intimate part of Plaintiff's person and would offend a reasonable sense of personal dignity. Further, said acts did cause a harmful or offensive contact with an intimate part of Plaintiff's person that would offend a reasonable sense of personal dignity.
- 176. Because of ROSALIO MEDRANO's position of authority over Plaintiff, and Plaintiff's mental and emotional state, and Plaintiff's young age which was under the age of consent, Plaintiff was unable to, and did not, give meaningful consent to such acts.
- 177. As a direct, legal, and proximate result of the acts of ROSALIO MEDRANO, Plaintiff sustained serious and permanent injuries to his person, all of which are damages in an amount to be shown according to proof and within the jurisdiction of the Court.
- 178. As a direct result of the sexual battery by ROSALIO MEDRANO, Plaintiff has difficulty in reasonably or meaningfully interacting with others, including those in positions of authority over Plaintiff including teachers, and supervisors, and in intimate, confidential and

familial relationships, due to the trauma of childhood sexual assault inflicted upon Plaintiff by Defendants. This inability to interact creates conflict with Plaintiff's values of trust and confidence in others, and has caused Plaintiff substantial emotional distress, anxiety, nervousness, anger and fear. As a direct result of the molestation by ROSALIO MEDRANO, Plaintiff has had issues with his or her personal life, such as issues with trust and control. These feelings have caused Plaintiff substantial emotional distress, guilt, anxiety, nervousness and fear.

179. In subjecting the Plaintiff to the wrongful treatment herein described, ROSALIO MEDRANO, acted willfully and maliciously with the intent to harm Plaintiff, and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights, so as to constitute malice and oppression under California *Civil Code* §3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to the recovery of punitive damages, in an amount to be determined by the court, against ROSALIO MEDRANO, in a sum to be shown according to proof.

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION SEXUAL ASSAULT

(Against Defendants the ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE, the ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION, ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS, LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, ROSALIO MEDRANO, and DOES 6 through 500)

- 180. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation contained herein as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
- 181. The ROSALIO MEDRANO, in doing the things herein alleged, including intending to subject Plaintiff to numerous instances of sexual abuse and molestation, intended to cause harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff's person, or intended to put Plaintiff in imminent apprehension of such contact.
- 182. In doing the things herein alleged, Plaintiff was put in imminent apprehension of a harmful or offensive contact by ROSALIO MEDRANO, and actually believed ROSALIO MEDRANO had the ability to make harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff's person.
- 183. Plaintiff did not consent to ROSALIO MEDRANO's intended harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff's person, or intent to put Plaintiff in imminent apprehension of such contact. Additionally, because Plaintiff was a minor during the time herein alleged, they

lacked the ability to consent to sexual contact with any person.

184. In doing the things herein alleged, ROSALIO MEDRANO violated Plaintiff's right, pursuant to *Civil Code* §43, of protection from bodily restraint or harm, and from personal insult. In doing the things herein alleged, ROSALIO MEDRANO violated his duty, pursuant to *Civil Code* §1708, to abstain from injuring the person of Plaintiff or infringing upon Plaintiff's rights.

185. As a result of the above-described conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress including embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliations, and loss of enjoyment of life; has suffered and will continue to suffer and was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life; will sustain loss of earnings and earning capacity, and/or has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling.

186. Plaintiff is informed and based thereon alleges that the conduct of ROSALIO MEDRANO was oppressive, malicious and despicable in that it was intentional and done in conscious disregard for the rights and safety of others, and were carried out with a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's right to be free from such tortious behavior, such as to constitute oppression, fraud or malice pursuant to California *Civil Code* §3294, entitling Plaintiff to punitive damages against ROSALIO MEDRANO in an amount appropriate to punish and set an example of ROSALIO MEDRANO.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for Judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For past, present and future general damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- For past, present and future special damages, including but not limited to past,
 present and future lost earnings, economic damages and others, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- 3. Any appropriate statutory damages, including but not limited to attorneys' fees;

1	4.	For costs of suit;								
2	5.	For pre- and post- jud	dgment interest as allowed by law;							
3	8.	For such other and fu	For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.							
4										
5	DATED: De	ecember 19, 2022	DEMARCO LAW FIRM							
6										
7			By: /s/ Anthony M. DeMarco							
8			ANTHONY M. DEMARCO, Esq.							
9			Attorney for Plaintiff, JOHN MDO DOE							
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
2526										
27										
28										
20			37							
		ICCD 5101 ET	IDET AMENDED MACTED COMPLAINT							

JCCP 5101 FIRST AMENDED MASTER COMPLAINT

1	1 DEMAND F	OR JURY TRIAL									
2	A trial by jury is haraby damanded by Dlaintiff										
3	3	A trial by jury is hereby demanded by Plaintiff.									
4	DATED: December 19, 2022 DEI	MARCO LAW FIRM									
5	5										
6	6 By:	/s/ Anthony M. DeMarco									
7	7	ANTHONY M. DEMARCO, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff, JOHN MDO DOE									
8	8	Attorneys for Flamtin, John MDO DOE									
9	9										
10	0										
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18 19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											
26											
27											
28											
		38									

JCCP 5101 FIRST AMENDED MASTER COMPLAINT

1 2	Anthony M. De Marco, [SBN: 189153] anthony@demarcolawfirm.com DEMARCO LAW FIRM		
3	133 W Lemon Avenue		
4	Monrovia, California 91016 Tel: 626-844-7700		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff No. 1345		
6			
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF	CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELI	ES - SPRING	STREET COURTHOUSE
9	IN THE MATTER OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CLERGY CASES) Case No.:	22STCV38521
10)) JCCP:	5101
11) Judge:	Hon. David S. Cunningham, III
12) Dept.:	11
13		,	ENDED NOTICE OF ADOPTION
14) FORM OF I) COMPLAIN	FIRST AMENDED MASTER
15)	
16		$\int_{0}^{\infty} U$ nderlying Δ	
16) IOIDI MDO	
17) JOHN MDO	DOE, an individual;
) JOHN MDO)))	DOE, an individual; Plaintiff,
17)))) v.	Plaintiff,
17 18))) v.) THE ROMA OF LOS ANO	Plaintiff, N CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE GELES, A CORPORATION SOLE;
17 18 19 20 21))) V.) THE ROMA OF LOS ANO THE ARCHI EDUCATION ALLIANCE	Plaintiff, N CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE GELES, A CORPORATION SOLE; DIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES N & WELFARE CORPORATION; COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC
17 18 19 20))) V.) THE ROMAL OF LOS AND THE ARCHI EDUCATION ALLIANCE SCHOOLS; I	Plaintiff, N CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE GELES, A CORPORATION SOLE; DIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES N & WELFARE CORPORATION; COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC LOS ANGELES UNIFIED STRICT; ROSALIO MEDRANO;
17 18 19 20 21 22))) V.) THE ROMAL OF LOS AND THE ARCHI EDUCATION ALLIANCE SCHOOLS; I	Plaintiff, N CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE GELES, A CORPORATION SOLE; DIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES N & WELFARE CORPORATION; COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC LOS ANGELES UNIFIED
17 18 19 20 21 22 23))) V.) THE ROMAL OF LOS AND THE ARCHI EDUCATION ALLIANCE SCHOOLS; I	Plaintiff, N CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE GELES, A CORPORATION SOLE; DIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES N & WELFARE CORPORATION; COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC LOS ANGELES UNIFIED STRICT; ROSALIO MEDRANO;
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25))) V.) THE ROMAL OF LOS AND THE ARCHI EDUCATION ALLIANCE SCHOOLS; I	Plaintiff, N CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE GELES, A CORPORATION SOLE; DIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES N & WELFARE CORPORATION; COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC LOS ANGELES UNIFIED STRICT; ROSALIO MEDRANO; through 500, inclusive,
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26))) V.) THE ROMAL OF LOS AND THE ARCHI EDUCATION ALLIANCE SCHOOLS; I	Plaintiff, N CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE GELES, A CORPORATION SOLE; DIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES N & WELFARE CORPORATION; COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC LOS ANGELES UNIFIED STRICT; ROSALIO MEDRANO; through 500, inclusive, Defendants.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		THE ROMAL OF LOS AND THE ARCHI EDUCATION ALLIANCE SCHOOLS; I SCHOOL DI and DOES 6	Plaintiff, N CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE GELES, A CORPORATION SOLE; DIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES N & WELFARE CORPORATION; COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC LOS ANGELES UNIFIED STRICT; ROSALIO MEDRANO; through 500, inclusive, Defendants.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		THE ROMAL OF LOS AND THE ARCHI EDUCATION ALLIANCE SCHOOLS; I SCHOOL DI and DOES 6	Plaintiff, N CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE GELES, A CORPORATION SOLE; DIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES N & WELFARE CORPORATION; COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC LOS ANGELES UNIFIED STRICT; ROSALIO MEDRANO; through 500, inclusive, Defendants.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	FIRST AMENDED NO (FOR FIRST AMENDED	THE ROMAL OF LOS AND THE ARCHI EDUCATION ALLIANCE SCHOOL S; I SCHOOL DI and DOES 6	Plaintiff, N CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE GELES, A CORPORATION SOLE; DIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES N & WELFARE CORPORATION; COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC LOS ANGELES UNIFIED STRICT; ROSALIO MEDRANO; through 500, inclusive, Defendants.

1	COM	IES NOW , PLAINTIFF JOHN MDO DOE ("Plaintiff"), who, pursuant to the Court's
2		ement Order, hereby submits this First Amended Notice of Adoption Form of the First
3	Amended M	aster Complaint filed in the above-captioned case.
4	Plaintiff's ur	nderlying action is entitled JOHN MDO DOE, an individual; v. THE ROMAN
5	CATHOLIC	ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A CORPORATION SOLE; THE
6	<i>ARCHDIOC</i>	ESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE CORPORATION; ALLIANCE
7	COLLEGE-1	READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS; LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT;
8	ROSALIO M	EDRANO; and DOES 6 through 500, inclusive, (Case No. 22STCV38521). ⊠
9	Plain	tiff has been assigned a Plaintiff number; it is Plaintiff No. 1345. ⊠
10	Plain	tiff has not been assigned a Plaintiff number. \Box
11	Plain	tiff is not a part of a multi-plaintiff action. ⊠
12	Plain	tiff is a part of a multi-plaintiff action. \square
13	Plain	tiff brings this action against the following Defendants:
14	1.	THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A
15	CORPORAT	TION SOLE, ⊠
16	2.	THE ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES EDUCATION & WELFARE
17	CORPORAT	TION, \boxtimes
18	3.	DOE PARISH, \square
19	4.	ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY PUBLIC SCHOOLS, \boxtimes
20	4.1	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, \boxtimes
21	5.	DOE RELIGIOUS ORDER, \square
22	6.	ROSALIO MEDRANO, ⊠
23	7.	DOES 6 through 500, inclusive. ⊠
24	Plain	tiff incorporates by reference only those causes of action marked below in this First
25	Amended No	otice of Adoption Form. Plaintiff agrees to be bound by any rulings with respect to the
26	pleading and	adopts any and all amendments made to the First Amended Master Complaint. $oximes$
27	Plain	tiff hereby adopts the following cause(s) of action as alleged in the First Amended Master
28	Complaint:	
		- 2 -

1	RELIG	HOU	S ORDER, DOE PERP	ETRAT	ΓOR, a	and DOES	1 through	500). \square			
2		14.	Fourteenth Cause of	of Actio	on: V	IOLATION	N OF PE	NAL CC	DDE §	§288(a) (A	Against
3	Defend	lants	DOE ARCHDIOCES	SE, DO	E DI	OCESE, I	OOE PAF	RISH, D	OE	SCHOOL,	DOE
4	RELIG	ious	S ORDER, DOE PERP	ETRAT	ΓOR, a	and DOES	1 through	500). \square			
5		15.	Fifteenth Cause of	Action:	VIOI	LATION C	F <i>PENAL</i>	CODE	§647	6.6(a)(1)	Against
6	Defend	lants	DOE ARCHDIOCES	SE, DO	E DI	OCESE, I	DOE PAR	RISH, D	OE	SCHOOL,	DOE
7	RELIG	ious	S ORDER, DOE PERP	ETRAT	ΓOR, a	and DOES	1 through	500). □			
8											
9		Plair	ntiff also hereby adopts	the foll	lowing	g paragraph	s as allege	ed in the	First	Amended	Master
10	Compl	aint:									
11	1.	\boxtimes		19.				34.	\boxtimes		
12	2.	\boxtimes		20.	\boxtimes			35.	\boxtimes		
13	3.	\boxtimes		21.				36.	\boxtimes		
14	4.			22.				37.	\boxtimes		
15	5.			23.	\boxtimes			38.	\boxtimes		
16	6.			24.				39.	\boxtimes		
17	7.	\boxtimes		25.	\boxtimes			40.	\boxtimes		
18	8.	\boxtimes		23.1	\boxtimes			41.	\boxtimes		
19	9.	\boxtimes		24.1				42.	\boxtimes		
20	10.	\boxtimes		25.1	\boxtimes			a.	\boxtimes		
21	11.			26.				b.	\boxtimes		
22	12.	\boxtimes		27.				c.	\boxtimes		
23	13.	\boxtimes		28.				d.	\boxtimes		
24	14.			29.				e.			
25	15.	\boxtimes		30.				f.	\boxtimes		
26	16.			31.	\boxtimes			g.	\boxtimes		
27	17.	\boxtimes		32.				h.			
28	18.			33.	\boxtimes			i.	\boxtimes		
						- 5 -					

1	j. 🗵	56. □	v. 🗆
2	k. ⊠	57. □	vi. 🗆
3	1. 🗵	58. □	vii. 🗆
4	m. 🗵	59. □	viii. 🗆
5	43. ⊠	60. ⊠	ix. \square
6	a. 🗵	61. ⊠	x. 🗆
7	b. ⊠	62. ⊠	xi. 🗆
8	44. 🗆	63. ⊠	xii. 🗆
9	a. 🗆	64. ⊠	d. 🗆
10	b. □	65. ⊠	i. 🗆
11	45 . ⊠	66. ⊠	ii. 🗆
12	46. ⊠	67. ⊠	iii. 🗆
13	4 7. ⊠	68. ⊠	iv. \square
14	48. ⊠	69. □	v. 🗆
15	49. ⊠	70. \square	vi. \square
16	a. 🗵	71.	vii. 🗆
17	b. ⊠	a. 🗆	viii.
18	c. 🗵	b. 🗆	ix.
19	d. ⊠	c. 🗆	x. 🗆
20	e. 🗵	d. 🗆	xi. \square
21	f. 🗵	72.	xii. 🗆
22	g. 🛚	a. 🗆	73.
23	50. ⊠	b. 🗆	74. □
24	51. ⊠	c. \square	75. □
	52. ⊠	i. 🗆	76. □
	53. ⊠	ii. 🗆	<i>77</i> . ⊠
	54. ⊠ 	iii. 🗆	78. ⊠
28	55. □	iv. \square	79. ⊠
	FIRS	- 6 - ST AMENDED NOTICE OF ADOPTION	

1	80.	\boxtimes	108.	\boxtimes	130.	\boxtimes
2	81.	\boxtimes	109.	\boxtimes	a.	\boxtimes
3	82.	\boxtimes	110.	\boxtimes	b.	\boxtimes
4	83.	\boxtimes	111.	\boxtimes	c.	\boxtimes
5	84.	\boxtimes	112.	\boxtimes	d.	\boxtimes
6	85.	\boxtimes	113.	\boxtimes	e.	\boxtimes
7	86.	\boxtimes	114.	\boxtimes	f.	\boxtimes
8	87.	\boxtimes	115.	\boxtimes	131.	\boxtimes
9	88.	\boxtimes	116.	\boxtimes	132.	\boxtimes
10	89.	\boxtimes	117.	\boxtimes	133.	\boxtimes
11	90.	\boxtimes	118.	\boxtimes	134.	\boxtimes
12	91.	\boxtimes	119.		135.	\boxtimes
13	92.	\boxtimes	120.		136.	\boxtimes
14	93.	\boxtimes	121.		137.	\boxtimes
15	94.	\boxtimes	122.		138.	\boxtimes
16	95.	\boxtimes	123.		139.	\boxtimes
17	96.	\boxtimes	124.		140.	\boxtimes
18	97.	\boxtimes	125.	\bowtie	141.	\boxtimes
19	98.	\boxtimes	126.	\bowtie	142.	\boxtimes
20	99.	\boxtimes	127.	\bowtie	143.	\boxtimes
21	100.	\boxtimes	128.	\bowtie	144.	\boxtimes
22	101.	\boxtimes	129.	\bowtie	145.	\boxtimes
23	102.	\boxtimes	a.	\bowtie	146.	\boxtimes
24	103.	\boxtimes	b.	\bowtie	147.	\boxtimes
25	104.	\boxtimes	c.	\boxtimes	148.	\boxtimes
26	105.	\boxtimes	d.	\bowtie	149.	\boxtimes
27	106.	\boxtimes	e.	\boxtimes	150.	\boxtimes
28	107.	\boxtimes	f.	\boxtimes	151.	\boxtimes
				- 7 -		
		(1		IDED NOTICE OF ADOPTION IENDED MASTER COMPLAIN	Γ)	
	1					

1	152.	\boxtimes	169.		188.	
2	153.	\boxtimes	170.		189.	
3	154.	\boxtimes	171.		189.1	
4	155.	\boxtimes	a.		a.	
5	156.	\boxtimes	b.		b.	
6	157.	\boxtimes	172.		c.	
7	158.	\boxtimes	173.	\boxtimes	190.	
8	159.	\boxtimes	174.	\boxtimes	191.	
9	160.	\boxtimes	175.	\boxtimes	192.	
10	160.1	\boxtimes	176.	\boxtimes	193.	
11	a.	\boxtimes	177.	\boxtimes	194.	
12	b.	\boxtimes	178.	\boxtimes	195.	
13	c.	\boxtimes	179.	\boxtimes	196.	
14	161.	\boxtimes	180.	\boxtimes	197.	
15	162.	\boxtimes	181.	\boxtimes	198.	
16	163.	\boxtimes	182.	\boxtimes	199.	
17	164.	\boxtimes	183.	\boxtimes	200.	
18	165.		184.	\boxtimes	201.	
19	166.		185.	\boxtimes	202.	
20	167.		186.	\boxtimes		
21	168.		187.			
22						
23		Plaintiff also alleges	s the following	causes of action n	ot alleged in the A	mended Master
24	Compl	aint: □				
25				TH CAUSE OF A		
26	(Aga	ainst Defendants				
27				OES 1 through 1		
28						
				- 8 -		

1	203.	Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation
2	contained here	ein above as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
3	204.	
4	205.	Defendants' actions were the legal and proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.
5	206.	Plaintiff was subject to sexual abuse by Defendant DOE PERPETRATOR when
6	Plaintiff was a	approximately years old. Such sexual abuse occurred between approximately
7	the following	date(s):
8	Year (if known): to Year (if known): at the following location:
9		·
10	(*Please attac	ch additional pages, if necessary)
11	207.	Cause of action for
12		
13	208.	Cause of action for
14		
15	209.	Cause of action for
16		
17	210.	Cause of action for
18		
19	211.	Cause of action for
20		
21	212.	Cause of action for
22		
23		
24	Factua	al Allegations which are Specific to Plaintiff: ⊠
25	Plaintiff JOH	N MDO DOE is an adult male citizen of the Unites States born in the year 1992. He
26	was sexually a	assaulted by DEFENDANT ROSALIO MEDRANO beginning when he was
27	approximately	y 16 years old. DEFENDANT ROSALIO MEDRANO utilized the access and trust his
28	position with	DEFENDANT THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, A
		- 9 -

1	CORPORA	ATION S	SOLE, DEFENDANT THE ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES
2	EDUCATI	ION & W	ELFARE CORPORATION, DEFENDANT ALLIANCE COLLEGE-READY
3	PUBLIC S	SCHOOL	S, and DEFENDANT LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT created
4	to gain acc	ess to, ar	nd the trust of Plaintiff and his family and to sexually assault and molest
5	Plaintiff.		
6			
7			PLAINTIFF'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF:
8	1.	For pas	at, present and future general damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
9	2.	For pas	et, present and future special damages including but not limited to past, present
10		and fut	ure lost earnings, economic damages and others, in an amount to be determined
11		at trial;	
12	3.	Any ap	propriate statutory damages, including but not limited to attorneys' fees, but not
13		includi	ng Treble Damages under Code of Civil Procedure §340.1; ⊠
14	4.	For cos	sts of suit; ⊠
15	5.	For pre	- and post- judgment interest as allowed by law; ⊠
16	6.	For atto	orney's fees pursuant to the aforementioned statutes and otherwise allowable by
17		law;	
18		a)	California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5; □
19		b)	California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.4; □
20		c)	California Civil Code §52; □
21	7.	With	regard to the Tenth Cause of Action for Fraudulent Transfer, Plaintiff requests:
22		a)	A judgment declaring that the Transfer of Asset(s) were void and invalid; \square
23		b)	A money judgment against the transferees of the Assets for the value of the
24			Transferred Asset(s); \square
25		c)	Imposition and enforcement of a lien in favor of Plaintiff on the Transfer of
26			Asset(s); \square
27		d)	Other appropriate legal or equitable relief, including an attachment lien or
28		•	other provisional remedy, an injunction against further disposition of the
			10

1	Transfer of Asset(s) or its proceeds, and/or the appointment of a receiver to
2	take charge of the asset(s) transferred or its proceeds; and/or \Box
3	e) In the alternative, that the Transfer of Asset(s) was/were void and invalid, a
4	judgment declaring that the purported creation and purpose of the Transfer of
5	Asset(s) was void and invalid, and that all assets held or previously held are
6	subject to the claims of Plaintiff. \square
7	8. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper. ⊠
8	
9	Plaintiff also asserts the following damages prayer(s) not asserted in the Master
10	Complaint: □
11	
12	
13	
14	Plaintiff makes a demand for a trial by jury. $oxtimes$
15	Transmit makes a demand for a trial by July.
16	DATED: December 19, 2022 DEMARCO LAW FIRM
17	
18 19	By: /s/ Anthony M. DeMarco
20	ANTHONY M. DEMARCO, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff, JOHN MDO DOE
21	Theories for Flammin, votil viribo bol
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 11 -
	FIRST AMENDED NOTICE OF ADOPTION (FOR FIRST AMENDED MASTER COMPLAINT)